IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.2248 of 2007

Bharmanna Ramu Wani ..Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors....Respondents

Mrs.Varsha Palav for the petitioner
Mr. P.P. Kakade, AGP for Respondent No.1 and 2.
Mr. A. Warerkar i/b..Warerkar & Warerkar for responent No.3.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
R.M.SAVANT, JJ.
DATED : 20TH APRIL, 2007.

. The services of the petitioner are terminated on the ground that the respondent State refused to approve her appointment. In the matter of termination of service of Shikshan Sevak it is the Grievance Committee which can deal with the issue.

2. The petitioner has an apprehension that issue cannot be gone into by the Grievance Committree. The sole ground for termination is non-approval. We are, therfore, of the opinion that the termination was a consequence of non-approval. The Grievance Committee can always look into the aspect whether the denial of approval was legal. If it arrives at the conclusion that the approval has to be granted, it will have to set aside the order of termination.

. Considering that the petitioner has an alternative efficacious remedy no inferference is called for.It is will be always open to the petitioners to raise all contentions raised here before the Grievance Committee. With the above observation, petition disposed of.

(**F.I.REBELLO**, J)

(**R.M. SAVANT,J)**